♠ AO 4	72 <b>(0RS</b> v D)\$	86 OF CO	Detention	n Pending Tria	1					
	RECEIVED	- LAKE CH	RLES	Liver	D C	TEC D	TOED LOS	п <b>О</b> ох хт		
	MAY	1 0 200	7	UNITE	D 21A	TE2 D	ISTRICT	COUR	KT .	
	(*IZ-C	1 0 200	stern			District of	of		Louisiana	
R	OUNTE	DWAT	CLERK ES OF	AMERICA						
	·	DEPUTY	V.				ORDER O	E DETEN	TION PE	NDING TRIAL
	AHMEI	SHARI	FF RIC	HARDSON	J	C	ise Number:			INDING TRIAL
			endant	THICESOI		C	ise rumber.	2.07 1913 20	752-001	
In a	accordance on of the de	with the E fendant pe	Bail Reforending tri	m Act, 18 U.S al in this case.	S.C. § 3142(f	), a detention	hearing has bee	n held. I conc	lude that the fo	ollowing facts require the
						t I—Findin				
[ (1)	or local of a crit	offense tha me of viole ffense for v	t would hence as de which the	ave been a fec efined in 18 U maximum ser	deral offense I.S.C. § 3156 ntence is life	if a circumsta (a)(4). imprisonmen	142(f)(1) and ha ance giving rise t t or death. ears or more is p	to federal juris	ed of a	federal offense  state
	a fel	ony that w	as commi	tted after the o	defendant had	d been convid	ted of two or mo	ore prior feder	al offenses des	cribed in 18 U.S.C.
· (0)	§ 31-	42(f)(1)(A	)-(C), or (	comparable sta	ate or local o	ffenses.				
(3)	A period	of not mo:	re than fiv	ve years has el	ommitted wh lapsed since t	the defendate	lant was on releat of conviction	ase pending tri release of	al for a federal the defendant	, state or local offense. from imprisonment
(4)	for the offense described in finding (1).  Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.  Alternative Findings (A)									
(1)	There is	probable c	ause to be	elieve that the			G ( )			
	for w	hich a ma	ximum te	rm of impriso			e is prescribed in	1		
[ (2)	The defer	r 18 U.S.C ndant has narance of the	ot rebutte		d and the safe	ety of the con	nmunity.	tion or combin	ation of condit	ions will reasonably assure
<b>—</b> (1)	Thoro in		ale that th	a dafandant		ernative Fin	dings (B)			
(2)						the safety of	another person/o	or the commun	hear	unfacily
									<del></del>	<del>// /</del>
derance	of the evic	lence that		and informatio	on submitted	at the hearing	f Reasons for g establishes by	X clear ar	_	evidence a prepon-
conclus	ion is base	d primarily	on the fo	tر1) بوollowing (1) t	he nature of	the charges a	nd the potential	penalties;	the we	captor
GU)	iden	<u>00 09</u>	aus	Folghe	rela	it:			<del></del> ,	
	****						70.7			
to the ex reasonal Governi	xtent pract ole opporti	icable, from inity for pre- erson in ch	n personativate con arge of the	custody of the sawaiting or sultation with the corrections	Attorney Gen serving sente defense cou	neral or his de: ences or bein insel. On ord	g held in custod ler of a court of evendant to the U	ntative for conf y pending app the United Sta	eal. The defe	rrections facility separate, ndant shall be afforded a lest of an attorney for the purpose of an appearance
May 10, 2007						17	wnoyo	P.W		
		Date		_			/	re of Judicial Of son, U.S. Magis		<del></del>

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).